

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,484	07/29/2003	Christian A.J. Lutkemeyer	13433US05	7455	
23446	7590 04/05/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD			LAM, TUA	LAM, TUAN THIEU	
SUITE 3400	MADISON STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	(L 60661		2816		
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/629,484	LUTKEMEYER, CHRISTIAN A.J.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Tuan T. Lam	2816		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutine to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutine to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutine to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutine to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutine to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutine to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutine to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutine to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutine to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutine to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutine to reply will be set or extended period for reply will, by statutine to reply will be set or extended period for reply will be set or ext	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J	<u>luly 2003</u> .			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) 18-21 and 30-40 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 18-21 and 30-40 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or contents and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction.	wn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 July 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	: 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	• •		/DTO 440)		
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
3) 🔲 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

This is a response to the preliminary amendment filed 7/29/2003. Claims 18-21 and 30-40 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 18, 30-32 and 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hillis et al. (USP 5,118,975). Figure 2 of Hillis et al. shows a circuit comprising a rising edge phase comparator (32A) for comparing a phase of a first signal (SYS CLK IN) associated with a first signal level (high/low) with a phase of a second signal (DEL CLK OUT REF) associated with a second signal level (low/high), and for generating a rising edge compensation signal (output of the rising edge phase comparator), a falling edge phase comparator (32B) for comparing a phase of a first signal (SYS CLK IN) associated with a first signal level (high/low) with a phase of a second signal (DEL CLK OUT REF) associated with a second signal level (low/high), and for generating a falling edge compensation signal (output of the falling edge phase comparator), an adjustable delay buffer (20 shown in figure 1 and details shown in figures 3a-3b) as called for in claims 18 and 32.

Regarding claims 30 and 39, a power supply (not shown) for providing a plurality of supply voltages VCC/VDD and ground associated with the high and low logic levels of the first and second signals.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,484 Page 3

Art Unit: 2816

Regarding claims 31 and 40, the first and second clock signals are derived from the source (not shown) that generates the SYS CLK IN of figure 1.

Claims 18, 30-33 and 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Masleid (USP 5,828,257). Figure 2 shows phase locked circuit comprising a rising edge phase comparator (34) of a first signal (output of buffer 10 associated with a first signal level (high/low) with a rising edge of a second signal (20) associated with a second signal level (low/high), and for generating a rising edge compensation signal (41) a falling edge phase comparing (36) for comparing a falling edge of the first signal associated with a first signal level (high/low) with a falling edge of the second signal associated with a second signal level (low/high), and for generating a falling edge compensation signal (43), an adjustable delay buffer (38, 40, 12, 14, 16, 18) coupled to the rising and falling edge comparators as called for in claims 18 and 32.

Regarding claims 30 and 39, a power supply (not shown) for providing a plurality of supply voltages VCC/VDD and ground associated with the high and low logic levels of the first and second signals.

Regarding claims 31 and 40, the first and second clock signals are derived from the source (not shown) that generates the clock input 20.

Regarding claim 33, figure 2 shows a buffer transistor 16.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or

Application/Control Number: 10/629,484

Art Unit: 2816

improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 18-21 and 32-38 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,636,091.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are claiming common technical features.

Regarding claim 18, claim 1 of USP 6,636,091 recites a rising edge comparator, a falling edge comparator, a adjustable delay buffer.

Regarding claims 19 and 33-35, claim 1 of USP 6,636,091 further recites adjustable delay buffer comprising a buffer transistor, a rising edge control transistor, a falling edge control transistor.

Regarding claims 20 and 36-37, claim 2 of USP 6,636,091 recites rising edge comparator comprises a register and a falling edge comparator comprises a register.

Regarding claims 21 and 38, claim 3 of USP 6,636,091 recites low pass filters.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,484

Art Unit: 2816

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Lam whose telephone number is 703-305-3791. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday (7:30 am to 6:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P Callahan can be reached on 703-308-4876. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Than T. Lam Primary Examiner Art Unit 2816

tl

March 22, 2005